

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
)	
Giuseppe SASSO et al.)	Group Art Unit: 1616
)	
Application No.: 10/500,375)	Examiner: Ali SOROUSH
)	
Filed: June 2, 2005)	
)	
For: MULTIPLE COMPARTMENT BAG)	Confirmation No.: 7553
ASSEMBLY FOR DIALYSIS)	
FLUID)	
)	

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicant thanks Examiner Ali Soroush and Supervisor Johann Richter for conducting the interview on October 16, 2008 with Applicant's representative Daniel Cooley. During the interview Applicant's representative discussed the Examiner's rejection of claims 11-13, 15-18, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over U.S. Patent No. 5,200,200 to Veech ("*Veech*"). Applicant submitted to the Examiner that *Veech* teaches away from the claimed invention and that the Examiner's interpretation of *Veech* would destroy the principal of operation of *Veech*. The Examiner conceded that his interpretation of *Veech* would not be preferred, however, the Examiner contended that his interpretation would not be at odds with the Examiner's understanding of the general principles of *Veech*. Applicant's representative disagreed and identified multiple

passages of *Veech* for the Examiner that support Applicant's position that *Veech* teaches away from the claimed invention in this application and that the Examiner's interpretation of *Veech* would destroy the principle of operation of *Veech*. The Examiner's Supervisor and the Examiner requested time to review and reconsider Applicant's position.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this interview summary and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: October 20, 2008

By: /Aaron L. Parker/
Aaron L. Parker
Reg. No. 50,785
(202) 408-4000